PATENT COOPERATION TREAT

PCT

REC'D 0 3 JAN 2006

WIPO

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference IPB/130259	FOR FURTHER	ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416	
International application No. PCT/IB2005/000142	International filing da 20.01.2005	ite (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 20.01.2004	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or B01D19/00, E21B43/34 Applicant	r national classification an	d IPC		
EPCON Offshore AS	-			
,	anemitted to the applic	ant according to Afticle	this International Preliminary Examining	
2. This REPORT consists of a total of 4 sheets, including this cover sheet.				
3. This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, comprising:				
a. 🗵 sent to the applicant and	to the International Bu	reau) a total of 2 shee	ets, as follows:	
□ sheets of the descrip and/or sheets contair Administrative Instruc	tion, claims and/or drav ning rectifications autho ctions).	wings which have been orized by this Authority	amended and are the basis of this report (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the	
Supplemental Box.	are international ap	phication as filed, as in	nsiders contain an amendment that goes dicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the	
Box Relating to Sequence	Elisting (see Section 8	602 of the Administrativ	ber of electronic carrier(s)) , containing a m only, as indicated in the Supplemental e Instructions).	
 This report contains indications re 	elating to the following	items:		
☐ Box No. I Basis of the op.	inion			
☐ Box No. II Priority				
☐ Box No. III Non-establishm	nent of opinion with reg	ard to novelty, inventive	e step and industrial applicability	
☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of	invention	, , .	o stop and industrial applicability	
	ationo and explanation	2) with regard to novelt s supporting such state	ty, inventive step or industrial ement	
☐ Box No. VI Certain docume	ents cited			
	in the international app			
☐ Box No. VIII Certain observa	ations on the internation	nal application		
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion of the	nis report	
18.11.2005		03.01.2006		
Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:		Authorized Officer	Michiae Palantany	
European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016		Plaka, T Telephone No. +31 70 3	340-2325	

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/IB2005/000142

_	Box No. I Basis of the re	eport	
1. With regard to the language , this report is based on the international application in the language filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.			
	☐ international search ☐ publication of the int	translations from the original language into the following language, of a translation furnished for the purposes of: (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) ternational application (under Rule 12.4) nary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)	
2	2. With regard to the elements* of the international application, this report is based on (replacement shee have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):		
	Description, Pages		
	1-11	as originally filed	
	Claims, Numbers		
	1-10	received on 25.11.2005 with letter of 18.11.2005	
	Drawings, Sheets		
	1/3-3/3	as originally filed	
	☐ a sequence listing and/o	or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing	
3.	 ☐ The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of: ☐ the description, pages ☐ the claims, Nos. ☐ the drawings, sheets/figs ☐ the sequence listing (specify): ☐ any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify): 		
1.	☐ This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)). ☐ the description, pages ☐ the claims, Nos. ☐ the drawings, sheets/figs ☐ the sequence listing (specify): ☐ any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):		
	* If item 4 applies,	some or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."	

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/IB2005/000142

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-10

No: Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No:

Claims

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-10 1-10

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/IB2005/000142

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: EP-A-1 208 897 (EPCON NORGE AS) 29 May 2002 (2002-05-29)

The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not inventive in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.

Document D1 (cf. abstract) discloses a combined degassing and flotation particularly suited in oil production for removal of oil and gases from water streams. The technical features of the separator as in claim 1 are present in the separator of D1.

The difference of the subject-matter of the use claim 1 over the disclosure of D1 is that at least two separators are used and that the fluid to be separated is subjected to gas injection.

There is no effect in simply using two or more identical separators without stating any interrelationship between them and furthermore, there is no surprising effect in injecting gas in a multi-phase fluid to be separated, apart from the obvious effect of improved separation.

Also, the additional features of dependent claims 2 to 10 appear to be conventional, and therefore no inventive step could be justified for said claims.

10

1

PATENT CLAIMS

- 1. Use of at least two separators, each separator comprising an essentially cylindrical vertical tank (1), a tangentially arranged inlet (2), at least one outlet (3) for oil and gas in the upper part of the tank, an outlet (4) for water placed in the lower part of the tank, an inner concentrical wall (10) formed as a cylinder placed in the upper part of the tank leaving an open space between said cylinder and the top of the space, and further leaving a space between said cylinder and the bottom of the tank, an outlet (8) for solids placed in the lower part of the tank, and optionally provided with an inlet guide vane (11) placed between the tank (1) and the inner cylinder (10) leaving an open space between the inner cylinder and the inlet guide vane (11), and further optionally provided with a concentrically arranged horizontal circular plate (12) having a smaller diameter than the tank placed in the lower part of the tank above the outlet for water (4) and solids (8), for the ini-15 tial separation at the well of the fluid from an oil and gas reservoir, wherein the fluid is subjected to gas injection for improved separation in at least one of said separator tanks.
- 2. Use according to claim 1, wherein the fluid from an oil and gas 20 reservoir is subjected to gas injection before entering the separator tank.
 - 3. Use according to claim 1 or 2, wherein the gas for gas injection is a hydrocarboneous gas.
- 4. Use according to any of the claims 1-3, wherein the gas for injection is gas recycled from the oil and gas production. 25
 - 5. Use according to any of the claims 1-4, wherein two or more separator tanks are used in series.
 - 6. Use according to any of the claims 1-4, wherein two or more separator tanks are used in parallel.
- 30 7. Use according to any of the preceding claims, wherein the pressure in separator the tank is from atmospheric pressure and up.
 - 8. Use according to any of the preceding claims, wherein the initial separation comprises treatment of about 100 m³ fluid per hour per 1 m³ separator tank volume.

2

- 9. Use according to any of the preceding claims, wherein the fluid is separated into an oil/gas phase and a water phase.
- 10. Use according to claim 9, wherein the oil/gas phase is separated into an oil phase and a gas phase in an additional stage.